

Aug 09 2003 03:53:24 Via Fax

-> FCC/OSEC 2024180187 1 TO Dear Ms. Marle Page 001 Of 001

August 06, 2003

Dear Ms. Marlene S. Dortch:

RE: CG Docket No. 02-278

As member of the NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF REALTORS® (NAR), I am writing to convey my wholehearted support for NAR's Request for Emergency Stay of Facsimile Advertisement Rules in the above referenced docket. The FCC's unexpected decision to remove the established business relationship exception from the unsolicited fax advertisements rules severely interferes with my day-to-day business as a real estate professional. Furthermore, the rules hinder the types of communication that my national, state and local REALTOR® associations routinely send via facsimile, including information on conventions or continuing education classes, which is a benefit that I expect as a dues paying member.

NAR in its Request for Emergency Stay of Facsimile Advertisement Rules has outlined the detrimental impact that these rules will have on its over 900,000 members and on the operations of over 3.5 million professionals in the real estate industry. I echo NAR's position and emphasize that it will be extremely difficult to obtain the required written consent to send faxes to my customers, vendors and real estate colleagues in the timeframe set by the FCC. It will also be difficult for my local board, state association, and national association to seek and obtain written consent from their respective membership in less than thirty days, in order to prevent an interruption in their ability to fax important information about upcoming conferences, promotions and other events. As a REALTOR® member, I rely on this timely and effective means of communication. It is unreasonable to think that an association can seek and obtain consent from almost 1 million members by August 25, 2003.


Today, there are over 2 million U.S. homes in the sales pipeline. Communicating via facsimiles is instrumental to ensuring a timely and successful transaction. An effective date of August 25, 2003, can potentially delay the majority of these transactions. To secure the appropriate written consent will significantly impact homebuyers and home sellers ability to move promptly in highly competitive housing markets. It will take time to adapt to this new requirement; businesses will have to amend current practices to gain this new consent from consumers and vendors.

It is in this regard that I urge the FCC to stay the effective date of the unanticipated and unprecedented fax rules for one year so that the required written consent form can be incorporated into the annual dues billing cycle. This is the most effective method for providing express written consent to NAR and my state and local REALTOR® associations. The stay is also essential in order to institute the required compliance procedures for fax communications with my clients and other businesses to ensure minimal disruptions in real estate transactions.

Thank you for your attention and consideration in this matter.

Sincerely,

GINNY BLACK  
6565 KIMBALL DR  
GIG HARBOR, WA 98335-1200



August 08, 2003

Dear Ms. Marlene S. Dortoh:

RE: CG Docket No. 02-278

As member of the NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF REALTORS® (NAR), I am writing to convey my wholehearted support for NAR's Request for Emergency Stay of Facsimile Advertisement Rules in the above referenced docket. The FCC's unexpected decision to remove the established business relationship exception from the unsolicited fax advertisements rules severely interferes with my day-to-day business as a real estate professional. Furthermore, the rules hinder the types of communication that my national, state and local REALTOR® associations routinely send via facsimile, including information on conventions or continuing education classes, which is a benefit that I expect as a dues paying member.

NAR in its Request for Emergency Stay of Facsimile Advertisement Rules has outlined the detrimental impact that these rules will have on its over 900,000 members and on the operations of over 3.5 million professionals in the real estate industry. I echo NAR's position and emphasize that it will be extremely difficult to obtain the required written consent to send faxes to my customers, vendors and real estate colleagues in the timeframe set by the FCC. It will also be difficult for my local board, state association, and national association to seek and obtain written consent from their respective membership in less than thirty days, in order to prevent an interruption in their ability to fax important information about upcoming conferences, promotions and other events. As a REALTOR® member, I rely on this timely and effective means of communication. It is unreasonable to think that an association can seek and obtain consent from almost 1 million members by August 25, 2003.


Today, there are over 2 million U.S. homes in the sales pipeline. Communicating via facsimiles is instrumental to ensuring a timely and successful transaction. An effective date of August 25, 2003, can potentially delay the majority of these transactions. To secure the appropriate written consent will significantly impact homebuyers and home sellers ability to move promptly in highly competitive housing markets. It will take time to adapt to this new requirement; businesses will have to amend current practices to gain this new consent from consumers and vendors.

It is in this regard that I urge the FCC to stay the effective date of the unanticipated and unprecedented fax rules for one year so that the required written consent form can be incorporated into the annual dues billing cycle. This is the most effective method for providing express written consent to NAR and my state and local REALTOR® associations. The stay is also essential in order to institute the required compliance procedures for fax communications with my clients and other businesses to ensure minimal disruptions in real estate transactions.

Thank you for your attention and consideration in this matter.

Sincerely,

J. Andrew Gibbons  
Broker  
1034 Joyce Mill Rd  
Westfield, NC 27053-8251



August 30, 2003

Dear Ms. Marlene S. Dortoh:

RE: CG Docket No. 02-278

As member of the NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF REALTORS® (NAR), I am writing to convey my wholehearted support for NAR s Request for Emergency Stay of Facsimile Advertisement Rules in the above referenced docket. The FCC s unexpected decision to remove the established business relationship exception from the unsolicited fax advertisements rules severely interferes with my day-to-day business as a real estate professional. Furthermore, the rules hinder the types of communication that my national, state and local REALTOR® associations routinely send via facsimile, including information on conventions or continuing education classes, which is a benefit that I expect as a dues paying member.

NAR in its Request for Emergency Stay of Facsimile Advertisement Rules has outlined the detrimental impact that these rules will have on its over 900,000 members and on the operations of over 3.5 million professionals in the real estate industry. I echo NAR s position and emphasize that it will be extremely difficult to obtain the required written consent to send faxes to my customers, vendors and real estate colleagues in the timeframe set by the FCC. It will also be difficult for my local board, state association, and national association to seek and obtain written consent from their respective membership in less than thirty days, in order to prevent an interruption in their ability to fax important information about upcoming conferences, promotions and other events. As a REALTOR® member, I rely on this timely and effective means of communication. It is unreasonable to think that an association can seek and obtain consent from almost 1 million members by August 25, 2003 .


Today, there are over 2 million U.S. homes in the sales pipeline. Communicating via facsimiles is instrumental to ensuring a timely and successful transaction. An effective date of August 25, 2003, can potentially delay the majority of these transactions. To secure the appropriate written consent will significantly impact homebuyers and home sellers ability to move promptly in highly competitive housing markets. It will take time to adapt to this new requirement; businesses will have to amend current practices to gain this new consent from consumers and vendors.

It is in this regard that I urge the FCC to stay the effective date of the unanticipated and unprecedented fax rules for one year so that the required written consent form can be incorporated into the annual dues billing cycle. This is the most effective method for providing express written consent to NAR and my state and local REALTOR® associations. The stay is also essential in order to institute the required compliance procedures for fax communications with my clients and other businesses to ensure minimal disruptions in real estate transactions.

Thank you for your attention and consideration in this matter.

Sincerely,

FRED WALTON  
4851 Rocky Knob Dr.  
Memphis, TN 38116-8155



August 06, 2003

Dear Ms. Marlene S. Dortch:

RE: CG Docket No. 02-278

As member of the NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF REALTORS® (NAR), I am writing to convey my wholehearted support for NAR's Request for Emergency Stay of Facsimile Advertisement Rules in the above referenced docket. The FCC's unexpected decision to remove the established business relationship exception from the unsolicited fax advertisements rules severely interferes with my day-to-day business as a real estate professional. Furthermore, the rules hinder the types of communication that my national, state and local REALTOR® associations routinely send via facsimile, including information on conventions or continuing education classes, which is a benefit that I expect as a dues paying member.

NAR in its Request for Emergency Stay of Facsimile Advertisement Rules has outlined the detrimental impact that these rules will have on its over 900,000 members and on the operations of over 3.5 million professionals in the real estate industry. I echo NAR's position and emphasize that it will be extremely difficult to obtain the required written consent to send faxes to my customers, vendors and real estate colleagues in the timeframe set by the FCC. It will also be difficult for my local board, state association, and national association to seek and obtain written consent from their respective membership in less than thirty days, in order to prevent an interruption in their ability to fax important information about upcoming conferences, promotions and other events. As a REALTOR® member, I rely on this timely and effective means of communication. It is unreasonable to think that an association can seek and obtain consent from almost 1 million members by August 25, 2003 .


Today, there are over 2 million U.S. homes in the sales pipeline. Communicating via facsimiles is instrumental to ensuring a timely and successful transaction. An effective date of August 25, 2003, can potentially delay the majority of these transactions. To secure the appropriate written consent will significantly impact homebuyers and home sellers ability to move promptly in highly competitive housing markets. It will take time to adapt to this new requirement; businesses will have to amend current practices to gain this new consent from consumers and vendors.

It is in this regard that I urge the FCC to stay the effective date of the unanticipated and unprecedented fax rules for one year so that the required written consent form can be incorporated into the annual dues billing cycle. This is the most effective method for providing express written consent to NAR and my state and local REALTOR® associations. The stay is also essential in order to institute the required compliance procedures for fax communications with my clients and other businesses to ensure minimal disruptions in real estate transactions.

Thank you for your attention and consideration in this matter.

Sincerely,

JANE AUSTIN  
21 SOUTH MAIN STREET  
PUTNAM, CT 06260-1906



August 07, 2003

Dear Ms. Marlene S. Dortoh:

RE: CG Docket No. 02-278

As member of the NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF REALTORS® (NAR), I am writing to convey my wholehearted support for NAR s Request for Emergency Stay of Facsimile Advertisement Rules in the above referenced docket. The FCC s unexpected decision to remove the established business relationship exception from the unsolicited fax advertisements rules severely interferes with my day-to-day business as a real estate professional. Furthermore, the rules hinder the types of communication that my national, state and local REALTOR® associations routinely send via facsimile, including information on conventions or continuing education classes, which is a benefit that I expect as a dues paying member.

NAR in its Request for Emergency Stay of Facsimile Advertisement Rules has outlined the detrimental impact that these rules will have on its over 900,000 members and on the operations of over 3.5 million professionals in the real estate industry. I echo NAR s position and emphasize that it will be extremely difficult to obtain the required written consent to send faxes to my customers, vendors and real estate colleagues in the timeframe set by the FCC. It will also be difficult for my local board, state association, and national association to seek and obtain written consent from their respective membership in less than thirty days, in order to prevent an interruption in their ability to fax important information about upcoming conferences, promotions and other events. As a REALTOR® member, I rely on this timely and effective means of communication. It is unreasonable to think that an association can seek and obtain consent from almost 1 million members by August 25, 2003 .


Today, there are over 2 million U.S. homes in the sales pipeline. Communicating via facsimiles is instrumental to ensuring a timely and successful transaction. An effective date of August 25, 2003, can potentially delay the majority of these transactions. To secure the appropriate written consent will significantly impact homebuyers and home sellers ability to move promptly in highly competitive housing markets. It will take time to adapt to this new requirement; businesses will have to amend current practices to gain this new consent from consumers and vendors.

It is in this regard that I urge the FCC to stay the effective date of the unanticipated and unprecedented fax rules for one year so that the required written consent form can be incorporated into the annual dues billing cycle. This is the most effective method for providing express written consent to NAR and my state and local REALTOR® associations. The stay is also essential in order to institute the required compliance procedures for fax communications with my clients and other businesses to ensure minimal disruptions in real estate transactions.

Thank you for your attention and consideration in this matter.

Sincerely,

Larry Kamins  
414 7th St. NE  
Washington, DC 20002-5257



Aug 09 2003 03:46:23 Via Fax

-> FCC/OSEC 2024100187 1 TO Dear Ms. Marle Page 001 OF 001

August 06, 2003

Dear Ms. Marlene S. Dortoh:

RE: CG Docket No. 02-278

As member of the NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF REALTORS® (NAR), I am writing to convey my wholehearted support for NAR's Request for Emergency Stay of Facsimile Advertisement Rules in the above referenced docket. The FCC's unexpected decision to remove the established business relationship exception from the unsolicited fax advertisements rules severely interferes with my day-to-day business as a real estate professional. Furthermore, the rules hinder the types of communication that my national, state and local REALTOR® associations routinely send via facsimile, including information on conventions or continuing education classes, which is a benefit that I expect as a dues paying member.

NAR in its Request for Emergency Stay of Facsimile Advertisement Rules has outlined the detrimental impact that these rules will have on its over 900,000 members and on the operations of over 3.5 million professionals in the real estate industry. I echo NAR's position and emphasize that it will be extremely difficult to obtain the required written consent to send faxes to my customers, vendors and real estate colleagues in the timeframe set by the FCC. It will also be difficult for my local board, state association, and national association to seek and obtain written consent from their respective membership in less than thirty days, in order to prevent an interruption in their ability to fax important information about upcoming conferences, promotions and other events. As a REALTOR® member, I rely on this timely and effective means of communication. It is unreasonable to think that an association can seek and obtain consent from almost 1 million members by August 25, 2003.


Today, there are over 2 million U.S. homes in the sales pipeline. Communicating via facsimiles is instrumental to ensuring a timely and successful transaction. An effective date of August 25, 2003, can potentially delay the majority of these transactions. To secure the appropriate written consent will significantly impact homebuyers and home sellers ability to move promptly in highly competitive housing markets. It will take time to adapt to this new requirement; businesses will have to amend current practices to gain this new consent from consumers and vendors.

It is in this regard that I urge the FCC to stay the effective date of the unanticipated and unprecedented fax rules for one year so that the required written consent form can be incorporated into the annual dues billing cycle. This is the most effective method for providing express written consent to NAR and my state and local REALTOR® associations. The stay is also essential in order to institute the required compliance procedures for fax communications with my clients and other businesses to ensure minimal disruptions in real estate transactions.

Thank you for your attention and consideration in this matter.

Sincerely,

Doris Bell  
2044 N. 71st St.  
Scottsdale, AZ 85257-2759



August 07, 2003

Dear Ms. Marlene S. Dortoh:

RE: CG Docket No. 02-278

As member of the NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF REALTORS® (NAR), I am writing to convey my wholehearted support for NAR's Request for Emergency Stay of Facsimile Advertisement Rules in the above referenced docket. The FCC's unexpected decision to remove the established business relationship exception from the unsolicited fax advertisements rules severely interferes with my day-to-day business as a real estate professional. Furthermore, the rules hinder the types of communication that my national, state and local REALTOR® associations routinely send via facsimile, including information on conventions or continuing education classes, which is a benefit that I expect as a dues paying member.

NAR in its Request for Emergency Stay of Facsimile Advertisement Rules has outlined the detrimental impact that these rules will have on its over 900,000 members and on the operations of over 3.5 million professionals in the real estate industry. I echo NAR's position and emphasize that it will be extremely difficult to obtain the required written consent to send faxes to my customers, vendors and real estate colleagues in the timeframe set by the FCC. It will also be difficult for my local board, state association, and national association to seek and obtain written consent from their respective membership in less than thirty days, in order to prevent an interruption in their ability to fax important information about upcoming conferences, promotions and other events. As a REALTOR® member, I rely on this timely and effective means of communication. It is unreasonable to think that an association can seek and obtain consent from almost 1 million members by August 25, 2003.


Today, there are over 2 million U.S. homes in the sales pipeline. Communicating via facsimiles is instrumental to ensuring a timely and successful transaction. An effective date of August 25, 2003, can potentially delay the majority of these transactions. To secure the appropriate written consent will significantly impact homebuyers and home sellers ability to move promptly in highly competitive housing markets. It will take time to adapt to this new requirement; businesses will have to amend current practices to gain this new consent from consumers and vendors.

It is in this regard that I urge the FCC to stay the effective date of the unanticipated and unprecedented fax rules for one year so that the required written consent form can be incorporated into the annual dues billing cycle. This is the most effective method for providing express written consent to NAR and my state and local REALTOR® associations. The stay is also essential in order to institute the required compliance procedures for fax communications with my clients and other businesses to ensure minimal disruptions in real estate transactions.

Thank you for your attention and consideration in this matter.

Sincerely,

Barbara Rhodes  
Rt 3 Box 342-A  
McAlester, OK



August 07, 2003

Dear Ms. Marlene S. Dortch:

RE: CG Docket No. 02-278

As member of the NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF REALTORS® (NAR), I am writing to convey my wholehearted support for NAR's Request for Emergency Stay of Facsimile Advertisement Rules in the above referenced docket. The FCC's unexpected decision to remove the established business relationship exception from the unsolicited fax advertisements rules severely interferes with my day-to-day business as a real estate professional. Furthermore, the rules hinder the types of communication that my national, state and local REALTOR® associations routinely send via facsimile, including information on conventions or continuing education classes, which is a benefit that I expect as a dues paying member.

NAR in its Request for Emergency Stay of Facsimile Advertisement Rules has outlined the detrimental impact that these rules will have on its over 900,000 members and on the operations of over 3.5 million professionals in the real estate industry. I echo NAR's position and emphasize that it will be extremely difficult to obtain the required written consent to send faxes to my customers, vendors and real estate colleagues in the timeframe set by the FCC. It will also be difficult for my local board, state association, and national association to seek and obtain written consent from their respective membership in less than thirty days, in order to prevent an interruption in their ability to fax important information about upcoming conferences, promotions and other events. As a REALTOR® member, I rely on this timely and effective means of communication. It is unreasonable to think that an association can seek and obtain consent from almost 1 million members by August 25, 2003.


Today, there are over 2 million U.S. homes in the sales pipeline. Communicating via facsimiles is instrumental to ensuring a timely and successful transaction. An effective date of August 25, 2003, can potentially delay the majority of these transactions. To secure the appropriate written consent will significantly impact homebuyers and home sellers ability to move promptly in highly competitive housing markets. It will take time to adapt to this new requirement; businesses will have to amend current practices to gain this new consent from consumers and vendors.

It is in this regard that I urge the FCC to stay the effective date of the unanticipated and unprecedented fax rules for one year so that the required written consent form can be incorporated into the annual dues billing cycle. This is the most effective method for providing express written consent to NAR and my state and local REALTOR® associations. The stay is also essential in order to institute the required compliance procedures for fax communications with my clients and other businesses to ensure minimal disruptions in real estate transactions.

Thank you for your attention and consideration in this matter.

Sincerely,

Michael Morris  
5 Stillwood Rd.  
Brookhaven, NY 11719-9608



Aug 09 2003 03:58:04 Via Fax

-> FCC/OSEC 2024100107 1 TO Dear Ms. Marle Page 001 OF 001

August 06, 2003

Dear Ms. Marlene S. Dortch:

RE: CG Docket No. 02-278

As member of the NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF REALTORS® (NAR), I am writing to convey my wholehearted support for NAR's Request for Emergency Stay of Facsimile Advertisement Rules in the above referenced docket. The FCC's unexpected decision to remove the established business relationship exception from the unsolicited fax advertisements rules severely interferes with my day-to-day business as a real estate professional. Furthermore, the rules hinder the types of communication that my national, state and local REALTOR® associations routinely send via facsimile, including information on conventions or continuing education classes, which is a benefit that I expect as a dues paying member.

NAR in its Request for Emergency Stay of Facsimile Advertisement Rules has outlined the detrimental impact that these rules will have on its over 900,000 members and on the operations of over 3.5 million professionals in the real estate industry. I echo NAR's position and emphasize that it will be extremely difficult to obtain the required written consent to send faxes to my customers, vendors and real estate colleagues in the timeframe set by the FCC. It will also be difficult for my local board, state association, and national association to seek and obtain written consent from their respective membership in less than thirty days, in order to prevent an interruption in their ability to fax important information about upcoming conferences, promotions and other events. As a REALTOR® member, I rely on this timely and effective means of communication. It is unreasonable to think that an association can seek and obtain consent from almost 1 million members by August 25, 2003 .


Today, there are over 2 million U.S. homes in the sales pipeline. Communicating via facsimiles is instrumental to ensuring a timely and successful transaction. An effective date of August 25, 2003, can potentially delay the majority of these transactions. To secure the appropriate written consent will significantly impact homebuyers and home sellers ability to move promptly in highly competitive housing markets. It will take time to adapt to this new requirement; businesses will have to amend current practices to gain this new consent from consumers and vendors.

It is in this regard that I urge the FCC to stay the effective date of the unanticipated and unprecedented fax rules for one year so that the required written consent form can be incorporated into the annual dues billing cycle. This is the most effective method for providing express written consent to NAR and my state and local REALTOR® associations. The stay is also essential in order to institute the required compliance procedures for fax communications with my clients and other businesses to ensure minimal disruptions in real estate transactions.

Thank you for your attention and consideration in this matter.

Sincerely,

Mary Lynn Baker-Hunter  
1247 Cadieux  
Grosse Pointe Park, MI 48230-1229



August 06, 2003

Dear Ms. Marlene S. Dortch:

RE: CG Docket No. 02-278

As member of the NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF REALTORS® (NAR), I am writing to convey my wholehearted support for NAR's Request for Emergency Stay of Facsimile Advertisement Rules in the above referenced docket. The FCC's unexpected decision to remove the established business relationship exception from the unsolicited fax advertisements rules severely interferes with my day-to-day business as a real estate professional. Furthermore, the rules hinder the types of communication that my national, state and local REALTOR® associations routinely send via facsimile, including information on conventions or continuing education classes, which is a benefit that I expect as a dues paying member.

NAR in its Request for Emergency Stay of Facsimile Advertisement Rules has outlined the detrimental impact that these rules will have on its over 900,000 members and on the operations of over 3.5 million professionals in the real estate industry. I echo NAR's position and emphasize that it will be extremely difficult to obtain the required written consent to send faxes to my customers, vendors and real estate colleagues in the timeframe set by the FCC. It will also be difficult for my local board, state association, and national association to seek and obtain written consent from their respective membership in less than thirty days, in order to prevent an interruption in their ability to fax important information about upcoming conferences, promotions and other events. As a REALTOR® member, I rely on this timely and effective means of communication. It is unreasonable to think that an association can seek and obtain consent from almost 1 million members by August 25, 2003.


Today, there are over 2 million U.S. homes in the sales pipeline. Communicating via facsimiles is instrumental to ensuring a timely and successful transaction. An effective date of August 25, 2003, can potentially delay the majority of these transactions. To secure the appropriate written consent will significantly impact homebuyers and home sellers ability to move promptly in highly competitive housing markets. It will take time to adapt to this new requirement; businesses will have to amend current practices to gain this new consent from consumers and vendors.

It is in this regard that I urge the FCC to stay the effective date of the unanticipated and unprecedented fax rules for one year so that the required written consent form can be incorporated into the annual dues billing cycle. This is the most effective method for providing express written consent to NAR and my state and local REALTOR® associations. The stay is also essential in order to institute the required compliance procedures for fax communications with my clients and other businesses to ensure minimal disruptions in real estate transactions.

Thank you for your attention and consideration in this matter.

Sincerely,

Irene Tordone  
Re/Max 49 E. Lancaster Ave.  
Paoli, PA



August 06, 2003

Dear Ms. Marlene S. Dortch:

RE: CG Docket No. 02-278

As member of the NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF REALTORS® (NAR), I am writing to convey my wholehearted support for NAR's Request for Emergency Stay of Facsimile Advertisement Rules in the above referenced docket. The FCC's unexpected decision to remove the established business relationship exception from the unsolicited fax advertisements rules severely interferes with my day-to-day business as a real estate professional. Furthermore, the rules hinder the types of communication that my national, state and local REALTOR® associations routinely send via facsimile, including information on conventions or continuing education classes, which is a benefit that I expect as a dues paying member.

NAR in its Request for Emergency Stay of Facsimile Advertisement Rules has outlined the detrimental impact that these rules will have on its over 900,000 members and on the operations of over 3.5 million professionals in the real estate industry. I echo NAR's position and emphasize that it will be extremely difficult to obtain the required written consent to send faxes to my customers, vendors and real estate colleagues in the timeframe set by the FCC. It will also be difficult for my local board, state association, and national association to seek and obtain written consent from their respective membership in less than thirty days, in order to prevent an interruption in their ability to fax important information about upcoming conferences, promotions and other events. As a REALTOR® member, I rely on this timely and effective means of communication. It is unreasonable to think that an association can seek and obtain consent from almost 1 million members by August 25, 2003.

Today, there are over 2 million U.S. homes in the sales pipeline. Communicating via facsimiles is instrumental to ensuring a timely and successful transaction. An effective date of August 25, 2003, can potentially delay the majority of these transactions. To secure the appropriate written consent will significantly impact homebuyers and home sellers ability to move promptly in highly competitive housing markets. It will take time to adapt to this new requirement; businesses will have to amend current practices to gain this new consent from consumers and vendors.


It is in this regard that I urge the FCC to stay the effective date of the unanticipated and unprecedented fax rules for one year so that the required written consent form can be incorporated into the annual dues billing cycle. This is the most effective method for providing express written consent to NAR and my state and local REALTOR® associations. The stay is also essential in order to institute the required compliance procedures for fax communications with my clients and other businesses to ensure minimal disruptions in real estate transactions.

Thank you for your attention and consideration in this matter.

Doing business in real estate is difficult enough without more restrictions on our time and ability to reach consumers. We appreciate consideration in trying to do our job.

Sincerely,

Cynthia Henry  
30447 Palomar Vista Drive  
Valley Center, CA 92082-4544



Aug 09 2003 03:45:27 Via Fax

-> FCC/OSEC 2024180187 1 TO Dear Ms. Marle Page 001 Of 001

August 07, 2003

Dear Ms. Marlene S. Dortch:

RE: CG Docket No. 02-278

As member of the NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF REALTORS® (NAR), I am writing to convey my wholehearted support for NAR's Request for Emergency Stay of Facsimile Advertisement Rules in the above referenced docket. The FCC's unexpected decision to remove the established business relationship exception from the unsolicited fax advertisements rules severely interferes with my day-to-day business as a real estate professional. Furthermore, the rules hinder the types of communication that my national, state and local REALTOR® associations routinely send via facsimile, including information on conventions or continuing education classes, which is a benefit that I expect as a dues paying member.

NAR in its Request for Emergency Stay of Facsimile Advertisement Rules has outlined the detrimental impact that these rules will have on its over 900,000 members and on the operations of over 3.5 million professionals in the real estate industry. I echo NAR's position and emphasize that it will be extremely difficult to obtain the required written consent to send faxes to my customers, vendors and real estate colleagues in the timeframe set by the FCC. It will also be difficult for my local board, state association, and national association to seek and obtain written consent from their respective membership in less than thirty days, in order to prevent an interruption in their ability to fax important information about upcoming conferences, promotions and other events. As a REALTOR® member, I rely on this timely and effective means of communication. It is unreasonable to think that an association can seek and obtain consent from almost 1 million members by August 25, 2003.


Today, there are over 2 million U.S. homes in the sales pipeline. Communicating via facsimiles is instrumental to ensuring a timely and successful transaction. An effective date of August 25, 2003, can potentially delay the majority of these transactions. To secure the appropriate written consent will significantly impact homebuyers and home sellers ability to move promptly in highly competitive housing markets. It will take time to adapt to this new requirement; businesses will have to amend current practices to gain this new consent from consumers and vendors.

It is in this regard that I urge the FCC to stay the effective date of the unanticipated and unprecedented fax rules for one year so that the required written consent form can be incorporated into the annual dues billing cycle. This is the most effective method for providing express written consent to NAR and my state and local REALTOR® associations. The stay is also essential in order to institute the required compliance procedures for fax communications with my clients and other businesses to ensure minimal disruptions in real estate transactions.

Thank you for your attention and consideration in this matter.

Sincerely,

Ann Gehring  
Realtor  
11431 NW 25 St  
Plantation, FL 33323-1847



August 06, 2003

Dear Ms. Marlene S. Dortch:

RE: CG Docket No. 02-278

As member of the NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF REALTORS® (NAR), I am writing to convey my wholehearted support for NAR's Request for Emergency Stay of Facsimile Advertisement Rules in the above referenced docket. The FCC's unexpected decision to remove the established business relationship exception from the unsolicited fax advertisements rules severely interferes with my day-to-day business as a real estate professional. Furthermore, the rules hinder the types of communication that my national, state and local REALTOR® associations routinely send via facsimile, including information on conventions or continuing education classes, which is a benefit that I expect as a dues paying member.

NAR in its Request for Emergency Stay of Facsimile Advertisement Rules has outlined the detrimental impact that these rules will have on its over 900,000 members and on the operations of over 3.5 million professionals in the real estate industry. I echo NAR's position and emphasize that it will be extremely difficult to obtain the required written consent to send faxes to my customers, vendors and real estate colleagues in the timeframe set by the FCC. It will also be difficult for my local board, state association, and national association to seek and obtain written consent from their respective membership in less than thirty days, in order to prevent an interruption in their ability to fax important information about upcoming conferences, promotions and other events. As a REALTOR® member, I rely on this timely and effective means of communication. It is unreasonable to think that an association can seek and obtain consent from almost 1 million members by August 25, 2003.


Today, there are over 2 million U.S. homes in the sales pipeline. Communicating via facsimiles is instrumental to ensuring a timely and successful transaction. An effective date of August 25, 2003, can potentially delay the majority of these transactions. To secure the appropriate written consent will significantly impact homebuyers and home sellers ability to move promptly in highly competitive housing markets. It will take time to adapt to this new requirement; businesses will have to amend current practices to gain this new consent from consumers and vendors.

It is in this regard that I urge the FCC to stay the effective date of the unanticipated and unprecedented fax rules for one year so that the required written consent form can be incorporated into the annual dues billing cycle. This is the most effective method for providing express written consent to NAR and my state and local REALTOR® associations. The stay is also essential in order to institute the required compliance procedures for fax communications with my clients and other businesses to ensure minimal disruptions in real estate transactions.

Thank you for your attention and consideration in this matter.

Sincerely,

LONNIE WADE  
12117 white cap lane  
houston, TX 77072-4734



Aug 09 2003 03:43:03 Via Fax

-> FCC/OSEC 2024180187 1 TO Dear Ms. Marle Page 001 Of 001

August 06, 2003

Dear Ms. Marlene S. Dortch:

RE: CG Docket No. 02-278

As member of the NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF REALTORS® (NAR), I am writing to convey my wholehearted support for NAR's Request for Emergency Stay of Facsimile Advertisement Rules in the above referenced docket. The FCC's unexpected decision to remove the established business relationship exception from the unsolicited fax advertisements rules severely interferes with my day-to-day business as a real estate professional. Furthermore, the rules hinder the types of communication that my national, state and local REALTOR® associations routinely send via facsimile, including information on conventions or continuing education classes, which is a benefit that I expect as a dues paying member.

NAR in its Request for Emergency Stay of Facsimile Advertisement Rules has outlined the detrimental impact that these rules will have on its over 900,000 members and on the operations of over 3.5 million professionals in the real estate industry. I echo NAR's position and emphasize that it will be extremely difficult to obtain the required written consent to send faxes to my customers, vendors and real estate colleagues in the timeframe set by the FCC. It will also be difficult for my local board, state association, and national association to seek and obtain written consent from their respective membership in less than thirty days, in order to prevent an interruption in their ability to fax important information about upcoming conferences, promotions and other events. As a REALTOR® member, I rely on this timely and effective means of communication. It is unreasonable to think that an association can seek and obtain consent from almost 1 million members by August 25, 2003.


Today, there are over 2 million U.S. homes in the sales pipeline. Communicating via facsimiles is instrumental to ensuring a timely and successful transaction. An effective date of August 25, 2003, can potentially delay the majority of these transactions. To secure the appropriate written consent will significantly impact homebuyers and home sellers' ability to move promptly in highly competitive housing markets. It will take time to adapt to this new requirement; businesses will have to amend current practices to gain this new consent from consumers and vendors.

It is in this regard that I urge the FCC to stay the effective date of the unanticipated and unprecedented fax rules for one year so that the required written consent form can be incorporated into the annual dues billing cycle. This is the most effective method for providing express written consent to NAR and my state and local REALTOR® associations. The stay is also essential in order to institute the required compliance procedures for fax communications with my clients and other businesses to ensure minimal disruptions in real estate transactions.

Thank you for your attention and consideration in this matter.

Sincerely,

Judy Hearst  
1124 W. Montclair Ave.  
Milwaukee, WI 53217-4453



Aug 09 2003 06:17:46 Via Fax

-> FCC/OSEC 2024180187 1 TO Dear Ms. Marle Page 001 OF 001

August 06, 2003

Dear Ms. Marlene S. Dortoh:

RE: CG Docket No. 02-278

As member of the NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF REALTORS® (NAR), I am writing to convey my wholehearted support for NAR's Request for Emergency Stay of Facsimile Advertisement Rules in the above referenced docket. The FCC's unexpected decision to remove the established business relationship exception from the unsolicited fax advertisements rules severely interferes with my day-to-day business as a real estate professional. Furthermore, the rules hinder the types of communication that my national, state and local REALTOR® associations routinely send via facsimile, including information on conventions or continuing education classes, which is a benefit that I expect as a dues paying member.

NAR in its Request for Emergency Stay of Facsimile Advertisement Rules has outlined the detrimental impact that these rules will have on its over 900,000 members and on the operations of over 3.5 million professionals in the real estate industry. I echo NAR's position and emphasize that it will be extremely difficult to obtain the required written consent to send faxes to my customers, vendors and real estate colleagues in the timeframe set by the FCC. It will also be difficult for my local board, state association, and national association to seek and obtain written consent from their respective membership in less than thirty days, in order to prevent an interruption in their ability to fax important information about upcoming conferences, promotions and other events. As a REALTOR® member, I rely on this timely and effective means of communication. It is unreasonable to think that an association can seek and obtain consent from almost 1 million members by August 25, 2003.


Today, there are over 2 million U.S. homes in the sales pipeline. Communicating via facsimiles is instrumental to ensuring a timely and successful transaction. An effective date of August 25, 2003, can potentially delay the majority of these transactions. To secure the appropriate written consent will significantly impact homebuyers and home sellers ability to move promptly in highly competitive housing markets. It will take time to adapt to this new requirement; businesses will have to amend current practices to gain this new consent from consumers and vendors.

It is in this regard that I urge the FCC to stay the effective date of the unanticipated and unprecedented fax rules for one year so that the required written consent form can be incorporated into the annual dues billing cycle. This is the most effective method for providing express written consent to NAR and my state and local REALTOR® associations. The stay is also essential in order to institute the required compliance procedures for fax communications with my clients and other businesses to ensure minimal disruptions in real estate transactions.

Thank you for your attention and consideration in this matter.

Sincerely,

Randal Rodichok  
1136 Columbus Street  
Etna, OH 43018-0184



August 07, 2003

Dear Ms. Marlene S. Dortch:

RE: CG Docket No. 02-278

As member of the NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF REALTORS® (NAR), I am writing to convey my wholehearted support for NAR's Request for Emergency Stay of Facsimile Advertisement Rules in the above referenced docket. The FCC's unexpected decision to remove the established business relationship exception from the unsolicited fax advertisements rules severely interferes with my day-to-day business as a real estate professional. Furthermore, the rules hinder the types of communication that my national, state and local REALTOR® associations routinely send via facsimile, including information on conventions or continuing education classes, which is a benefit that I expect as a dues paying member.

NAR in its Request for Emergency Stay of Facsimile Advertisement Rules has outlined the detrimental impact that these rules will have on its over 900,000 members and on the operations of over 3.5 million professionals in the real estate industry. I echo NAR's position and emphasize that it will be extremely difficult to obtain the required written consent to send faxes to my customers, vendors and real estate colleagues in the timeframe set by the FCC. It will also be difficult for my local board, state association, and national association to seek and obtain written consent from their respective membership in less than thirty days, in order to prevent an interruption in their ability to fax important information about upcoming conferences, promotions and other events. As a REALTOR® member, I rely on this timely and effective means of communication. It is unreasonable to think that an association can seek and obtain consent from almost 1 million members by August 25, 2003.


Today, there are over 2 million U.S. homes in the sales pipeline. Communicating via facsimiles is instrumental to ensuring a timely and successful transaction. An effective date of August 25, 2003, can potentially delay the majority of these transactions. To secure the appropriate written consent will significantly impact homebuyers and home sellers ability to move promptly in highly competitive housing markets. It will take time to adapt to this new requirement; businesses will have to amend current practices to gain this new consent from consumers and vendors.

It is in this regard that I urge the FCC to stay the effective date of the unanticipated and unprecedented fax rules for one year so that the required written consent form can be incorporated into the annual dues billing cycle. This is the most effective method for providing express written consent to NAR and my state and local REALTOR® associations. The stay is also essential in order to institute the required compliance procedures for fax communications with my clients and other businesses to ensure minimal disruptions in real estate transactions.

Thank you for your attention and consideration in this matter.

Sincerely,

Donald R Brown  
4572 Village Drive  
Fernandina Beach, FL 32034-6847



Aug 09 2003 05:46:26 Via Fax -> FCC/OSEC 2024100187 1 TO Dear Ms. Marle Page 001 OF 001

August 08, 2003

Dear Ms. Marlene S. Dortch:

RE: CG Docket No. 02-278

As member of the NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF REALTORS® (NAR), I am writing to convey my wholehearted support for NAR's Request for Emergency Stay of Facsimile Advertisement Rules in the above referenced docket. The FCC's unexpected decision to remove the established business relationship exception from the unsolicited fax advertisements rules severely interferes with my day-to-day business as a real estate professional. Furthermore, the rules hinder the types of communication that my national, state and local REALTOR® associations routinely send via facsimile, including information on conventions or continuing education classes, which is a benefit that I expect as a dues paying member.

NAR in its Request for Emergency Stay of Facsimile Advertisement Rules has outlined the detrimental impact that these rules will have on its over 900,000 members and on the operations of over 3.5 million professionals in the real estate industry. I echo NAR's position and emphasize that it will be extremely difficult to obtain the required written consent to send faxes to my customers, vendors and real estate colleagues in the timeframe set by the FCC. It will also be difficult for my local board, state association, and national association to seek and obtain written consent from their respective membership in less than thirty days, in order to prevent an interruption in their ability to fax important information about upcoming conferences, promotions and other events. As a REALTOR® member, I rely on this timely and effective means of communication. It is unreasonable to think that an association can seek and obtain consent from almost 1 million members by August 25, 2003.


Today, there are over 2 million U.S. homes in the sales pipeline. Communicating via facsimiles is instrumental to ensuring a timely and successful transaction. An effective date of August 25, 2003, can potentially delay the majority of these transactions. To secure the appropriate written consent will significantly impact homebuyers and home sellers' ability to move promptly in highly competitive housing markets. It will take time to adapt to this new requirement; businesses will have to amend current practices to gain this new consent from consumers and vendors.

It is in this regard that I urge the FCC to stay the effective date of the unanticipated and unprecedented fax rules for one year so that the required written consent form can be incorporated into the annual dues billing cycle. This is the most effective method for providing express written consent to NAR and my state and local REALTOR® associations. The stay is also essential in order to institute the required compliance procedures for fax communications with my clients and other businesses to ensure minimal disruptions in real estate transactions.

Thank you for your attention and consideration in this matter.

Sincerely,

Marie Bettini  
Broker/Owner  
58 Gullane Dr.  
Slingerlands, NY 12159-9798



August 06, 2003

Dear Ms. Marlene S. Dortoh:

RE: CG Docket No. 02-278

As member of the NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF REALTORS® (NAR), I am writing to convey my wholehearted support for NAR's Request for Emergency Stay of Facsimile Advertisement Rules in the above referenced docket. The FCC's unexpected decision to remove the established business relationship exception from the unsolicited fax advertisements rules severely interferes with my day-to-day business as a real estate professional. Furthermore, the rules hinder the types of communication that my national, state and local REALTOR® associations routinely send via facsimile, including information on conventions or continuing education classes, which is a benefit that I expect as a dues paying member.

NAR in its Request for Emergency Stay of Facsimile Advertisement Rules has outlined the detrimental impact that these rules will have on its over 900,000 members and on the operations of over 3.5 million professionals in the real estate industry. I echo NAR's position and emphasize that it will be extremely difficult to obtain the required written consent to send faxes to my customers, vendors and real estate colleagues in the timeframe set by the FCC. It will also be difficult for my local board, state association, and national association to seek and obtain written consent from their respective membership in less than thirty days, in order to prevent an interruption in their ability to fax important information about upcoming conferences, promotions and other events. As a REALTOR® member, I rely on this timely and effective means of communication. It is unreasonable to think that an association can seek and obtain consent from almost 1 million members by August 25, 2003.


Today, there are over 2 million U.S. homes in the sales pipeline. Communicating via facsimiles is instrumental to ensuring a timely and successful transaction. An effective date of August 25, 2003, can potentially delay the majority of these transactions. To secure the appropriate written consent will significantly impact homebuyers and home sellers ability to move promptly in highly competitive housing markets. It will take time to adapt to this new requirement; businesses will have to amend current practices to gain this new consent from consumers and vendors.

It is in this regard that I urge the FCC to stay the effective date of the unanticipated and unprecedented fax rules for one year so that the required written consent form can be incorporated into the annual dues billing cycle. This is the most effective method for providing express written consent to NAR and my state and local REALTOR® associations. The stay is also essential in order to institute the required compliance procedures for fax communications with my clients and other businesses to ensure minimal disruptions in real estate transactions.

Thank you for your attention and consideration in this matter.

Sincerely,

klaus driscoll  
25 sunset ave  
santa rosa beach, FL 32459-3505



August 06, 2003

Dear Ms. Marlene S. Dortch:

RE: CG Docket No. 02-278

As member of the NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF REALTORS® (NAR), I am writing to convey my wholehearted support for NAR's Request for Emergency Stay of Facsimile Advertisement Rules in the above referenced docket. The FCC's unexpected decision to remove the established business relationship exception from the unsolicited fax advertisements rules severely interferes with my day-to-day business as a real estate professional. Furthermore, the rules hinder the types of communication that my national, state and local REALTOR® associations routinely send via facsimile, including information on conventions or continuing education classes, which is a benefit that I expect as a dues paying member.

NAR in its Request for Emergency Stay of Facsimile Advertisement Rules has outlined the detrimental impact that these rules will have on its over 900,000 members and on the operations of over 3.5 million professionals in the real estate industry. I echo NAR's position and emphasize that it will be extremely difficult to obtain the required written consent to send faxes to my customers, vendors and real estate colleagues in the timeframe set by the FCC. It will also be difficult for my local board, state association, and national association to seek and obtain written consent from their respective membership in less than thirty days, in order to prevent an interruption in their ability to fax important information about upcoming conferences, promotions and other events. As a REALTOR® member, I rely on this timely and effective means of communication. It is unreasonable to think that an association can seek and obtain consent from almost 1 million members by August 25, 2003 .


Today, there are over 2 million U.S. homes in the sales pipeline. Communicating via facsimiles is instrumental to ensuring a timely and successful transaction. An effective date of August 25, 2003, can potentially delay the majority of these transactions. To secure the appropriate written consent will significantly impact homebuyers and home sellers ability to move promptly in highly competitive housing markets. It will take time to adapt to this new requirement; businesses will have to amend current practices to gain this new consent from consumers and vendors.

It is in this regard that I urge the FCC to stay the effective date of the unanticipated and unprecedented fax rules for one year so that the required written consent form can be incorporated into the annual dues billing cycle. This is the most effective method for providing express written consent to NAR and my state and local REALTOR® associations. The stay is also essential in order to institute the required compliance procedures for fax communications with my clients and other businesses to ensure minimal disruptions in real estate transactions.

Thank you for your attention and consideration in this matter.

Sincerely,

Ethel Krawitz  
5 Sommet  
Newport Coast, CA 92657-0104



August 06, 2003

Dear Ms. Marlene S. Dortch:

RE: CG Docket No. 02-278

As member of the NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF REALTORS® (NAR), I am writing to convey my wholehearted support for NAR's Request for Emergency Stay of Facsimile Advertisement Rules in the above referenced docket. The FCC's unexpected decision to remove the established business relationship exception from the unsolicited fax advertisements rules severely interferes with my day-to-day business as a real estate professional. Furthermore, the rules hinder the types of communication that my national, state and local REALTOR® associations routinely send via facsimile, including information on conventions or continuing education classes, which is a benefit that I expect as a dues paying member.

NAR in its Request for Emergency Stay of Facsimile Advertisement Rules has outlined the detrimental impact that these rules will have on its over 900,000 members and on the operations of over 3.5 million professionals in the real estate industry. I echo NAR's position and emphasize that it will be extremely difficult to obtain the required written consent to send faxes to my customers, vendors and real estate colleagues in the timeframe set by the FCC. It will also be difficult for my local board, state association, and national association to seek and obtain written consent from their respective membership in less than thirty days, in order to prevent an interruption in their ability to fax important information about upcoming conferences, promotions and other events. As a REALTOR® member, I rely on this timely and effective means of communication. It is unreasonable to think that an association can seek and obtain consent from almost 1 million members by August 25, 2003.


Today, there are over 2 million U.S. homes in the sales pipeline. Communicating via facsimiles is instrumental to ensuring a timely and successful transaction. An effective date of August 25, 2003, can potentially delay the majority of these transactions. To secure the appropriate written consent will significantly impact homebuyers and home sellers ability to move promptly in highly competitive housing markets. It will take time to adapt to this new requirement; businesses will have to amend current practices to gain this new consent from consumers and vendors.

It is in this regard that I urge the FCC to stay the effective date of the unanticipated and unprecedented fax rules for one year so that the required written consent form can be incorporated into the annual dues billing cycle. This is the most effective method for providing express written consent to NAR and my state and local REALTOR® associations. The stay is also essential in order to institute the required compliance procedures for fax communications with my clients and other businesses to ensure minimal disruptions in real estate transactions.

Thank you for your attention and consideration in this matter.

Sincerely,

James Way  
8202 Skycrest Dr.  
Las Vegas, NV 89123-0244



August 06, 2003

Dear Ms. Marlene S. Dortch:

RE: CG Docket No. 02-278

As member of the NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF REALTORS® (NAR), I am writing to convey my wholehearted support for NAR's Request for Emergency Stay of Facsimile Advertisement Rules in the above referenced docket. The FCC's unexpected decision to remove the established business relationship exception from the unsolicited fax advertisements rules severely interferes with my day-to-day business as a real estate professional. Furthermore, the rules hinder the types of communication that my national, state and local REALTOR® associations routinely send via facsimile, including information on conventions or continuing education classes, which is a benefit that I expect as a dues paying member.

NAR in its Request for Emergency Stay of Facsimile Advertisement Rules has outlined the detrimental impact that these rules will have on its over 900,000 members and on the operations of over 3.5 million professionals in the real estate industry. I echo NAR's position and emphasize that it will be extremely difficult to obtain the required written consent to send faxes to my customers, vendors and real estate colleagues in the timeframe set by the FCC. It will also be difficult for my local board, state association, and national association to seek and obtain written consent from their respective membership in less than thirty days, in order to prevent an interruption in their ability to fax important information about upcoming conferences, promotions and other events. As a REALTOR® member, I rely on this timely and effective means of communication. It is unreasonable to think that an association can seek and obtain consent from almost 1 million members by August 25, 2003.


Today, there are over 2 million U.S. homes in the sales pipeline. Communicating via facsimiles is instrumental to ensuring a timely and successful transaction. An effective date of August 25, 2003, can potentially delay the majority of these transactions. To secure the appropriate written consent will significantly impact homebuyers and home sellers ability to move promptly in highly competitive housing markets. It will take time to adapt to this new requirement; businesses will have to amend current practices to gain this new consent from consumers and vendors.

It is in this regard that I urge the FCC to stay the effective date of the unanticipated and unprecedented fax rules for one year so that the required written consent form can be incorporated into the annual dues billing cycle. This is the most effective method for providing express written consent to NAR and my state and local REALTOR® associations. The stay is also essential in order to institute the required compliance procedures for fax communications with my clients and other businesses to ensure minimal disruptions in real estate transactions.

Thank you for your attention and consideration in this matter.

Sincerely,

Judy Rumley  
1370 NW 114th St #100  
Clive, IA 50325



Aug 09 2003 05:52:34 Via Fax

-> FCC/OSEC 2024180187 1 TO Dear Ms. Marle Page 001 Of 001

August 06, 2003

Dear Ms. Marlene S. Dortch:

RE: CG Docket No. 02-278

As member of the NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF REALTORS® (NAR), I am writing to convey my wholehearted support for NAR's Request for Emergency Stay of Facsimile Advertisement Rules in the above referenced docket. The FCC's unexpected decision to remove the established business relationship exception from the unsolicited fax advertisements rules severely interferes with my day-to-day business as a real estate professional. Furthermore, the rules hinder the types of communication that my national, state and local REALTOR® associations routinely send via facsimile, including information on conventions or continuing education classes, which is a benefit that I expect as a dues paying member.

NAR in its Request for Emergency Stay of Facsimile Advertisement Rules has outlined the detrimental impact that these rules will have on its over 900,000 members and on the operations of over 3.5 million professionals in the real estate industry. I echo NAR's position and emphasize that it will be extremely difficult to obtain the required written consent to send faxes to my customers, vendors and real estate colleagues in the timeframe set by the FCC. It will also be difficult for my local board, state association, and national association to seek and obtain written consent from their respective membership in less than thirty days, in order to prevent an interruption in their ability to fax important information about upcoming conferences, promotions and other events. As a REALTOR® member, I rely on this timely and effective means of communication. It is unreasonable to think that an association can seek and obtain consent from almost 1 million members by August 25, 2003.


Today, there are over 2 million U.S. homes in the sales pipeline. Communicating via facsimiles is instrumental to ensuring a timely and successful transaction. An effective date of August 25, 2003, can potentially delay the majority of these transactions. To secure the appropriate written consent will significantly impact homebuyers and home sellers' ability to move promptly in highly competitive housing markets. It will take time to adapt to this new requirement; businesses will have to amend current practices to gain this new consent from consumers and vendors.

It is in this regard that I urge the FCC to stay the effective date of the unanticipated and unprecedented fax rules for one year so that the required written consent form can be incorporated into the annual dues billing cycle. This is the most effective method for providing express written consent to NAR and my state and local REALTOR® associations. The stay is also essential in order to institute the required compliance procedures for fax communications with my clients and other businesses to ensure minimal disruptions in real estate transactions.

Thank you for your attention and consideration in this matter.

Sincerely,

Jack Butera  
7700 San Felipe Suite 350  
Houston, TX 77063-1696



August 09, 2003

Dear Ms. Marlene S. Dortoh:

RE: CG Docket No. 02-278

As member of the NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF REALTORS® (NAR), I am writing to convey my wholehearted support for NAR's Request for Emergency Stay of Facsimile Advertisement Rules in the above referenced docket. The FCC's unexpected decision to remove the established business relationship exception from the unsolicited fax advertisements rules severely interferes with my day-to-day business as a real estate professional. Furthermore, the rules hinder the types of communication that my national, state and local REALTOR® associations routinely send via facsimile, including information on conventions or continuing education classes, which is a benefit that I expect as a dues paying member.

NAR in its Request for Emergency Stay of Facsimile Advertisement Rules has outlined the detrimental impact that these rules will have on its over 900,000 members and on the operations of over 3.5 million professionals in the real estate industry. I echo NAR's position and emphasize that it will be extremely difficult to obtain the required written consent to send faxes to my customers, vendors and real estate colleagues in the timeframe set by the FCC. It will also be difficult for my local board, state association, and national association to seek and obtain written consent from their respective membership in less than thirty days, in order to prevent an interruption in their ability to fax important information about upcoming conferences, promotions and other events. As a REALTOR® member, I rely on this timely and effective means of communication. It is unreasonable to think that an association can seek and obtain consent from almost 1 million members by August 25, 2003.


Today, there are over 2 million U.S. homes in the sales pipeline. Communicating via facsimiles is instrumental to ensuring a timely and successful transaction. An effective date of August 25, 2003, can potentially delay the majority of these transactions. To secure the appropriate written consent will significantly impact homebuyers and home sellers ability to move promptly in highly competitive housing markets. It will take time to adapt to this new requirement; businesses will have to amend current practices to gain this new consent from consumers and vendors.

It is in this regard that I urge the FCC to stay the effective date of the unanticipated and unprecedented fax rules for one year so that the required written consent form can be incorporated into the annual dues billing cycle. This is the most effective method for providing express written consent to NAR and my state and local REALTOR® associations. The stay is also essential in order to institute the required compliance procedures for fax communications with my clients and other businesses to ensure minimal disruptions in real estate transactions.

Thank you for your attention and consideration in this matter.

Sincerely,

Pamela Szypulski  
705 S Center Court  
Bethany Beach, DE 19930-9041



August 06, 2003

Dear Ms. Marlene S. Dortch:

RE: CG Docket No. 02-278

As member of the NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF REALTORS® (NAR), I am writing to convey my wholehearted support for NAR's Request for Emergency Stay of Facsimile Advertisement Rules in the above referenced docket. The FCC's unexpected decision to remove the established business relationship exception from the unsolicited fax advertisements rules severely interferes with my day-to-day business as a real estate professional. Furthermore, the rules hinder the types of communication that my national, state and local REALTOR® associations routinely send via facsimile, including information on conventions or continuing education classes, which is a benefit that I expect as a dues paying member.

NAR in its Request for Emergency Stay of Facsimile Advertisement Rules has outlined the detrimental impact that these rules will have on its over 900,000 members and on the operations of over 3.5 million professionals in the real estate industry. I echo NAR's position and emphasize that it will be extremely difficult to obtain the required written consent to send faxes to my customers, vendors and real estate colleagues in the timeframe set by the FCC. It will also be difficult for my local board, state association, and national association to seek and obtain written consent from their respective membership in less than thirty days, in order to prevent an interruption in their ability to fax important information about upcoming conferences, promotions and other events. As a REALTOR® member, I rely on this timely and effective means of communication. It is unreasonable to think that an association can seek and obtain consent from almost 1 million members by August 25, 2003.


Today, there are over 2 million U.S. homes in the sales pipeline. Communicating via facsimiles is instrumental to ensuring a timely and successful transaction. An effective date of August 25, 2003, can potentially delay the majority of these transactions. To secure the appropriate written consent will significantly impact homebuyers and home sellers ability to move promptly in highly competitive housing markets. It will take time to adapt to this new requirement; businesses will have to amend current practices to gain this new consent from consumers and vendors.

It is in this regard that I urge the FCC to stay the effective date of the unanticipated and unprecedented fax rules for one year so that the required written consent form can be incorporated into the annual dues billing cycle. This is the most effective method for providing express written consent to NAR and my state and local REALTOR® associations. The stay is also essential in order to institute the required compliance procedures for fax communications with my clients and other businesses to ensure minimal disruptions in real estate transactions.

Thank you for your attention and consideration in this matter.

Sincerely,

Thomas Davis  
506 Santa Rosa Rd  
Arcadia, CA 91007-2745



Aug 09 2003 06:06:22 Via Fax

-> FCC/OSEC 2024180187 1 TO Dear Ms. Marle Page 001 Of 001

August 06, 2003

Dear Ms. Marlene S. Dortch:

RE: CG Docket No. 02-278

As member of the NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF REALTORS® (NAR), I am writing to convey my wholehearted support for NAR's Request for Emergency Stay of Facsimile Advertisement Rules in the above referenced docket. The FCC's unexpected decision to remove the established business relationship exception from the unsolicited fax advertisements rules severely interferes with my day-to-day business as a real estate professional. Furthermore, the rules hinder the types of communication that my national, state and local REALTOR® associations routinely send via facsimile, including information on conventions or continuing education classes, which is a benefit that I expect as a dues paying member.

NAR in its Request for Emergency Stay of Facsimile Advertisement Rules has outlined the detrimental impact that these rules will have on its over 900,000 members and on the operations of over 3.5 million professionals in the real estate industry. I echo NAR's position and emphasize that it will be extremely difficult to obtain the required written consent to send faxes to my customers, vendors and real estate colleagues in the timeframe set by the FCC. It will also be difficult for my local board, state association, and national association to seek and obtain written consent from their respective membership in less than thirty days, in order to prevent an interruption in their ability to fax important information about upcoming conferences, promotions and other events. As a REALTOR® member, I rely on this timely and effective means of communication. It is unreasonable to think that an association can seek and obtain consent from almost 1 million members by August 25, 2003.


Today, there are over 2 million U.S. homes in the sales pipeline. Communicating via facsimiles is instrumental to ensuring a timely and successful transaction. An effective date of August 25, 2003, can potentially delay the majority of these transactions. To secure the appropriate written consent will significantly impact homebuyers and home sellers' ability to move promptly in highly competitive housing markets. It will take time to adapt to this new requirement; businesses will have to amend current practices to gain this new consent from consumers and vendors.

It is in this regard that I urge the FCC to stay the effective date of the unanticipated and unprecedented fax rules for one year so that the required written consent form can be incorporated into the annual dues billing cycle. This is the most effective method for providing express written consent to NAR and my state and local REALTOR® associations. The stay is also essential in order to institute the required compliance procedures for fax communications with my clients and other businesses to ensure minimal disruptions in real estate transactions.

Thank you for your attention and consideration in this matter.

Sincerely,

Pat Nieboer  
12916 - 168th Ave.  
Fremont, MI 49412



August 08, 2003

Dear Ms. Marlene S. Dortoh:

RE: CG Docket No. 02-278

As member of the NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF REALTORS® (NAR), I am writing to convey my wholehearted support for NAR's Request for Emergency Stay of Facsimile Advertisement Rules in the above referenced docket. The FCC's unexpected decision to remove the established business relationship exception from the unsolicited fax advertisements rules severely interferes with my day-to-day business as a real estate professional. Furthermore, the rules hinder the types of communication that my national, state and local REALTOR® associations routinely send via facsimile, including information on conventions or continuing education classes, which is a benefit that I expect as a dues paying member.

NAR in its Request for Emergency Stay of Facsimile Advertisement Rules has outlined the detrimental impact that these rules will have on its over 900,000 members and on the operations of over 3.5 million professionals in the real estate industry. I echo NAR's position and emphasize that it will be extremely difficult to obtain the required written consent to send faxes to my customers, vendors and real estate colleagues in the timeframe set by the FCC. It will also be difficult for my local board, state association, and national association to seek and obtain written consent from their respective membership in less than thirty days, in order to prevent an interruption in their ability to fax important information about upcoming conferences, promotions and other events. As a REALTOR® member, I rely on this timely and effective means of communication. It is unreasonable to think that an association can seek and obtain consent from almost 1 million members by August 25, 2003.


Today, there are over 2 million U.S. homes in the sales pipeline. Communicating via facsimiles is instrumental to ensuring a timely and successful transaction. An effective date of August 25, 2003, can potentially delay the majority of these transactions. To secure the appropriate written consent will significantly impact homebuyers and home sellers ability to move promptly in highly competitive housing markets. It will take time to adapt to this new requirement; businesses will have to amend current practices to gain this new consent from consumers and vendors.

It is in this regard that I urge the FCC to stay the effective date of the unanticipated and unprecedented fax rules for one year so that the required written consent form can be incorporated into the annual dues billing cycle. This is the most effective method for providing express written consent to NAR and my state and local REALTOR® associations. The stay is also essential in order to institute the required compliance procedures for fax communications with my clients and other businesses to ensure minimal disruptions in real estate transactions.

Thank you for your attention and consideration in this matter.

Sincerely,

Samuel A. Stillo  
20418 N. 30th Way  
Phoenix, AZ 85050-4762



August 06, 2003

Dear Ms. Marlene S. Dortch:

RE: CG Docket No. 02-278

As member of the NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF REALTORS® (NAR), I am writing to convey my wholehearted support for NAR's Request for Emergency Stay of Facsimile Advertisement Rules in the above referenced docket. The FCC's unexpected decision to remove the established business relationship exception from the unsolicited fax advertisements rules severely interferes with my day-to-day business as a real estate professional. Furthermore, the rules hinder the types of communication that my national, state and local REALTOR® associations routinely send via facsimile, including information on conventions or continuing education classes, which is a benefit that I expect as a dues paying member.

NAR in its Request for Emergency Stay of Facsimile Advertisement Rules has outlined the detrimental impact that these rules will have on its over 900,000 members and on the operations of over 3.5 million professionals in the real estate industry. I echo NAR's position and emphasize that it will be extremely difficult to obtain the required written consent to send faxes to my customers, vendors and real estate colleagues in the timeframe set by the FCC. It will also be difficult for my local board, state association, and national association to seek and obtain written consent from their respective membership in less than thirty days, in order to prevent an interruption in their ability to fax important information about upcoming conferences, promotions and other events. As a REALTOR® member, I rely on this timely and effective means of communication. It is unreasonable to think that an association can seek and obtain consent from almost 1 million members by August 25, 2003.


Today, there are over 2 million U.S. homes in the sales pipeline. Communicating via facsimiles is instrumental to ensuring a timely and successful transaction. An effective date of August 25, 2003, can potentially delay the majority of these transactions. To secure the appropriate written consent will significantly impact homebuyers and home sellers ability to move promptly in highly competitive housing markets. It will take time to adapt to this new requirement; businesses will have to amend current practices to gain this new consent from consumers and vendors.

It is in this regard that I urge the FCC to stay the effective date of the unanticipated and unprecedented fax rules for one year so that the required written consent form can be incorporated into the annual dues billing cycle. This is the most effective method for providing express written consent to NAR and my state and local REALTOR® associations. The stay is also essential in order to institute the required compliance procedures for fax communications with my clients and other businesses to ensure minimal disruptions in real estate transactions.

Thank you for your attention and consideration in this matter.

Sincerely,

Steve Libro  
14 Bayaire Rd.  
Marmora, NJ 08223-1536



Aug 09 2003 06:08:21 Via Fax

-> FCC/OSEC 2024180187 1 TO Dear Ms. Marle Page 001 Of 001

August 06, 2003

Dear Ms. Marlene S. Dortch:

RE: CG Docket No. 02-278

As member of the NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF REALTORS® (NAR), I am writing to convey my wholehearted support for NAR's Request for Emergency Stay of Facsimile Advertisement Rules in the above referenced docket. The FCC's unexpected decision to remove the established business relationship exception from the unsolicited fax advertisements rules severely interferes with my day-to-day business as a real estate professional. Furthermore, the rules hinder the types of communication that my national, state and local REALTOR® associations routinely send via facsimile, including information on conventions or continuing education classes, which is a benefit that I expect as a dues paying member.

NAR in its Request for Emergency Stay of Facsimile Advertisement Rules has outlined the detrimental impact that these rules will have on its over 900,000 members and on the operations of over 3.5 million professionals in the real estate industry. I echo NAR's position and emphasize that it will be extremely difficult to obtain the required written consent to send faxes to my customers, vendors and real estate colleagues in the timeframe set by the FCC. It will also be difficult for my local board, state association, and national association to seek and obtain written consent from their respective membership in less than thirty days, in order to prevent an interruption in their ability to fax important information about upcoming conferences, promotions and other events. As a REALTOR® member, I rely on this timely and effective means of communication. It is unreasonable to think that an association can seek and obtain consent from almost 1 million members by August 25, 2003.


Today, there are over 2 million U.S. homes in the sales pipeline. Communicating via facsimiles is instrumental to ensuring a timely and successful transaction. An effective date of August 25, 2003, can potentially delay the majority of these transactions. To secure the appropriate written consent will significantly impact homebuyers and home sellers' ability to move promptly in highly competitive housing markets. It will take time to adapt to this new requirement; businesses will have to amend current practices to gain this new consent from consumers and vendors.

It is in this regard that I urge the FCC to stay the effective date of the unanticipated and unprecedented fax rules for one year so that the required written consent form can be incorporated into the annual dues billing cycle. This is the most effective method for providing express written consent to NAR and my state and local REALTOR® associations. The stay is also essential in order to institute the required compliance procedures for fax communications with my clients and other businesses to ensure minimal disruptions in real estate transactions.

Thank you for your attention and consideration in this matter.

Sincerely,

FRANCIS TAKAHASHI  
501 Mission Avenue  
Oceanside, CA 92054-2829



August 06, 2003

Dear Ms. Marlene S. Dortch:

RE: CG Docket No. 02-278

As member of the NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF REALTORS® (NAR), I am writing to convey my wholehearted support for NAR's Request for Emergency Stay of Facsimile Advertisement Rules in the above referenced docket. The FCC's unexpected decision to remove the established business relationship exception from the unsolicited fax advertisements rules severely interferes with my day-to-day business as a real estate professional. Furthermore, the rules hinder the types of communication that my national, state and local REALTOR® associations routinely send via facsimile, including information on conventions or continuing education classes, which is a benefit that I expect as a dues paying member.

NAR in its Request for Emergency Stay of Facsimile Advertisement Rules has outlined the detrimental impact that these rules will have on its over 900,000 members and on the operations of over 3.5 million professionals in the real estate industry. I echo NAR's position and emphasize that it will be extremely difficult to obtain the required written consent to send faxes to my customers, vendors and real estate colleagues in the timeframe set by the FCC. It will also be difficult for my local board, state association, and national association to seek and obtain written consent from their respective membership in less than thirty days, in order to prevent an interruption in their ability to fax important information about upcoming conferences, promotions and other events. As a REALTOR® member, I rely on this timely and effective means of communication. It is unreasonable to think that an association can seek and obtain consent from almost 1 million members by August 25, 2003 .

Today, there are over 2 million U.S. homes in the sales pipeline. Communicating via facsimiles is instrumental to ensuring a timely and successful transaction. An effective date of August 25, 2003, can potentially delay the majority of these transactions. To secure the appropriate written consent will significantly impact homebuyers and home sellers ability to move promptly in highly competitive housing markets. It will take time to adapt to this new requirement; businesses will have to amend current practices to gain this new consent from consumers and vendors.

It is in this regard that I urge the FCC to stay the effective date of the unanticipated and unprecedented fax rules for one year so that the required written consent form can be incorporated into the annual dues billing cycle. This is the most effective method for providing express written consent to NAR and my state and local REALTOR® associations. The stay is also essential in order to institute the required compliance procedures for fax communications with my clients and other businesses to ensure minimal disruptions in real estate transactions.

Thank you for your attention and consideration in this matter.

Sincerely,

Deborah Lechelt  
1128 Prairie Street  
Aurora, IL 60506-5431

RECEIVED & INSPECTED  
AUG 11 2003  
FCC - MAILROOM

Aug 09 2003 06:11:55 Via Fax

-> FCC/OSEC 2024180187 1 TO Dear Ms. Marle Page 001 Of 001

August 06, 2003

Dear Ms. Marlene S. Dortch:

RE: CG Docket No. 02-278

As member of the NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF REALTORS® (NAR), I am writing to convey my wholehearted support for NAR's Request for Emergency Stay of Facsimile Advertisement Rules in the above referenced docket. The FCC's unexpected decision to remove the established business relationship exception from the unsolicited fax advertisements rules severely interferes with my day-to-day business as a real estate professional. Furthermore, the rules hinder the types of communication that my national, state and local REALTOR® associations routinely send via facsimile, including information on conventions or continuing education classes, which is a benefit that I expect as a dues paying member.

NAR in its Request for Emergency Stay of Facsimile Advertisement Rules has outlined the detrimental impact that these rules will have on its over 900,000 members and on the operations of over 3.5 million professionals in the real estate industry. I echo NAR's position and emphasize that it will be extremely difficult to obtain the required written consent to send faxes to my customers, vendors and real estate colleagues in the timeframe set by the FCC. It will also be difficult for my local board, state association, and national association to seek and obtain written consent from their respective membership in less than thirty days, in order to prevent an interruption in their ability to fax important information about upcoming conferences, promotions and other events. As a REALTOR® member, I rely on this timely and effective means of communication. It is unreasonable to think that an association can seek and obtain consent from almost 1 million members by August 25, 2003.

Today, there are over 2 million U.S. homes in the sales pipeline. Communicating via facsimiles is instrumental to ensuring a timely and successful transaction. An effective date of August 25, 2003, can potentially delay the majority of these transactions. To secure the appropriate written consent will significantly impact homebuyers and home sellers ability to move promptly in highly competitive housing markets. It will take time to adapt to this new requirement; businesses will have to amend current practices to gain this new consent from consumers and vendors.

It is in this regard that I urge the FCC to stay the effective date of the unanticipated and unprecedented fax rules for one year so that the required written consent form can be incorporated into the annual dues billing cycle. This is the most effective method for providing express written consent to NAR and my state and local REALTOR® associations. The stay is also essential in order to institute the required compliance procedures for fax communications with my clients and other businesses to ensure minimal disruptions in real estate transactions.

Thank you for your attention and consideration in this matter. We need your help please.

Sincerely,

Thomas Cash  
15919 Hampton Glen Ct  
Chesterfield, VA 23832-1962

